

Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry 98 (1996) 87-90

# Effect of $CO_2$ pressure on photocatalytic reduction of $CO_2$ using $TiO_2$ in aqueous solutions

Takayuki Mizuno<sup>a</sup>, Kengi Adachi<sup>a</sup>, Kiyohisa Ohta<sup>a</sup>, Akira Saji<sup>b</sup>

\* Department of Chemistry for Materials, Faculty of Engineering, Mie University, Kamihama-cho 1515, Tuu, Mie 514, Japan \* Electric Power Research and Development Centre, Chubu Electric Power Co. Inc., 20-1 Kitasekiyama, Odaka-cho, Midori-ku, Nagaya 459, Japan

Received 20 October 1995; accepted 1 February 1996

# Abstract

The photocatalytical reduction of  $CO_2$  at high pressure was investigated using  $TiO_2$  suspensions in water and caustic solution. An increase in  $CO_2$  pressure significantly accelerated the  $CO_2$  reduction in both water and the caustic solution. The major reduction products are liquid phase products such as acetic acid and alcohols, and gaseous products such as methane, ethane and ethylene were also observed as a minor portions.

Keywords: Pressure; Photocatalytic reduction; Carbon dioxide; Titanium dioxide

## **1. Introduction**

Photocatalytic reduction of CO2 using semiconductor suspensions has been widely studied in aqueous solutions [1-5]. The studies have so far been performed exclusively at ordinary temperature and pressure. In these conditions, however, the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in water is very small because of its low solubility and, furthermore, photocatalytical CO<sub>2</sub> reduction is competitive with H<sub>2</sub> formation via water reduction. Hence selective reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> is one of the major problems for the photocatalytic reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> in aqueous solutions. Increase in CO<sub>2</sub> pressure is one of the measures for increasing the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> and improving the CO<sub>2</sub> reduction selectivity [6,7]. Actually, in electrochemical reduction on metal electrodes, it has been reported that the reduction rate and the yield of reduction products were improved with increasing CO<sub>2</sub> pressure and, furthermore, the selectivity of the reduction products was also changed. A similar effect could be expected for photocatalytic reduction of CO2 in aqueous solutions. The present authors have investigated the photocatalytic reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> at high pressure (2.8 MPa) using copper-loaded TiO<sub>2</sub> suspensions in water. It has been found that hydrocarbons such as methane, ethane and ethylene, which were not produced at ambient CO2 pressure, were formed under high  $CO_2$  pressure [8].

In this paper we report the effects of  $CO_2$  pressure on photocatalytic reduction of  $CO_2$  using  $TiO_2$  suspensions in purified water and caustic solutions. Moreover, the selectivity of  $CO_2$  reduction compared with water reduction was examined.

#### 2. Experimental details

The photocatalytic reduction was carried out in stainless steel autoclave (inner volume, 100 ml) with a window through which  $CO_2$  medium was irradiated, as shown in Fig. 1. A commercially available pressure glass device with 21 mm diameter aperture (KLINPORT KPT-C1Q, Nihon Klingage Co. Ltd.) was fitted in the window, because the window glass transmitted almost all the light rays of wavelength above 340 nm and energy of 3.6 eV, which is higher than the band gap energy of TiO<sub>2</sub> (3.0 eV). The inner surface was completely covered by Teflon to prevent contamination from stainless steel and its catalytic effect on  $CO_2$  reduction. The autoclave was placed in a water bath at 293 K.

TiO<sub>2</sub> (Wako Junyaku, anatase, specific surface of 8.7 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>) was pretreated by boiling in HNO<sub>3</sub> and then thoroughly rinsed with distilled water prior to use. The TiO<sub>2</sub> powders (50 mg) were sonically suspended in 30 ml of purified water and 0.2 N NaOH solution and transferred to the autoclave. After deoxygenation by bubbling CO<sub>2</sub> for 30 min, the autoclave was closed tightly and then the CO<sub>2</sub> pressure was increased to the given values ranging from 98 kPa to 2.5 MPa. The solution in the autoclave was continuously stirred by a magnetic stirrer during irradiation with a 4.5 kW xenon lamp



Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of photolysis cell for  $CO_2$  reduction under high  $CO_2$  pressure.

through the window. After the end of irradiation, gaseous reduction products were sampled through a sampling valve and analysed by FID gas chromatography for hydrocarbons and TCD for CO and  $H_2$ . The liquid sample was taken after pressure reduction to the ordinary state and analysed by high performance liquid chromatography.

# 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Effect of CO2 pressure

Under ambient CO<sub>2</sub> pressure in purified water, no products produced by CO<sub>2</sub> reduction were definitely observed in the gas phase as reported previously [1]. However, as the CO<sub>2</sub> pressure increased to 0.5 MPa, methane was observed as the reduction product in the gas phase and its yield increased with increasing CO<sub>2</sub> pressure as shown in Fig. 2. Furthermore, at 2.5 MPa  $CO_2$  pressure ethylene and ethane were also observed, although these yields were much smaller than that of methane. It has been reported by Anpo and coworkers that these gaseous reduction products were produced by photocatalytic reduction of gaseous  $CO_2$  and  $H_2$  mixtures [9–11], although in the case of aqueous solution saturated by 1 atm  $CO_2$  the gaseous products have not identified as mentioned above. These facts indicate that as the CO<sub>2</sub> pressure increases the absorption on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surfaces shift from hydrogen species to carbon species, resulting in progress of the reaction between the hydrogen and carbon species and then formation of the lower hydrocarbons as gaseous products.

Fig. 3 shows that the reduction products in the liquid phase were formic acid and methanol, as reported by other workers at ambient pressure except for formaldehyde [1,12]. Although at ambient pressure a small amount of formic acid was produced, its yield increased linearly but slightly with the pressure. Methanol was also observed at ambient pressure, and its yield increased sharply with increase in  $CO_2$ pressure to 1.0 MPa, and then decreased sharply with its further increase. It was characteristic that only methanol exhibited the large peak at 1 MPa in its yield, but the reason for that is not clarified yet. Comparing Fig. 2 with Fig. 3, it



Fig. 2. Effect of CO<sub>2</sub> pressure on its photoreduction (medium, purified water; irradiation time, 24 h; catalyst, TiO<sub>2</sub>):  $\triangle$ , CH<sub>4</sub>;  $\bigcirc$ , C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>;  $\Box$ , C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>.



Fig. 3. Effect of CO<sub>2</sub> pressure on its photoreduction (medium, purified water; irradiation time, 24 h; catalyst, TiO<sub>2</sub>): O, CH<sub>3</sub>OH;  $\Delta$ ,  $\exists$ COOH.

should be noted that the yield of the liquid reduction products was roughly one order of magnitude larger than that of the gaseous products, on average. Thus it was demonstrated that increase in  $CO_2$  pressure accelerated significantly the photocatalytic reduction of  $CO_2$  and preferentially produced liquid products, although an optimal  $CO_2$  pressure might exist for formation of methanol.

From these results, it is suggested that increasing  $CO_2$ . pressure increases the  $CO_2$  availability on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surfaces so that the selectivity of photocatalytic reduction of  $CO_2$  in water is accelerated and furthermore the reduction similar to that in the gaseous phase mixture of  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  proceeds simultaneously.

# 3.2. Effect of electrolytes

Previous work by others has shown that addition of electrolytes such as Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and NaOH increased photocatalytic decomposition of water and reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> [13,14]. We tried to examine the effect of electrolyte addition under pressurized CO<sub>2</sub> conditions using 0.2 N NaOH solution as the initial composition of the aqueous solution before pressurizing with CO<sub>2</sub>. Fig. 4 shows that the gaseous product was methane only and any higher hydrocarbons such as ethane and ethylene were not observed. Methane was produced exclusively under pressurized CO<sub>2</sub> conditions, and its dependence on the CO<sub>2</sub> pressure was similar to that in water as mentioned above. The total yield of gaseous products at 2.5 MPa was about 2 times larger in the NaOH solution than in water.

As shown in Fig. 5, for the liquid products, contrary to the gaseous products it was characteristic that two carbon compounds such as ethanol and acetaldehyde were observed at 2.5 MPa and their amount was several times larger in the NaOH solution than the total amount of all liquid reduction products in purified water. The results demonstrated that the addition of NaOH as an electrolyte significantly enhanced the  $CO_2$  reductions to produce both a gaseous product and liquid products. It might be a factor in this enhancement that the NaOH solution dissolved a larger amount of  $CO_2$  than water although many of them dissociated. On the contrary, a similar enhancement caused by the electrolyte addition was reported in the photocatalytical decomposition of water to H<sub>2</sub> and  $O_2$  by Sayama and Arakawa [13,14]. This implies that the photocatalytic reaction itself could be accelerated with the addition of electrolytes, even though the role of the carbonate ions has not been clarified-yet.

# 3.3. Reduction rate of CO<sub>2</sub> under high pressure

Fig. 6 shows the time course of formation of reduction products in the 0.2 N NaOH solution at 2.5 MPa CO<sub>2</sub>. This indicated that the amount of  $H_2$  as a reduction product of water sharply decreased with irradiation time. On the contrary, CO<sub>2</sub> reduction products such as methane, ethylene, ethane, methanol and ethanol all increased with the time. This



CO2 Pressure (MPa)

Fig. 4. Effect of CO<sub>2</sub> pressure on its photoreduction (medium, 0.2 N NaOH; irradiation time, 24 h; catalyst, TiO<sub>2</sub>):  $\triangle$ , CH<sub>4</sub>;  $\bigcirc$ , C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>.



Fig. 5. Effect of CO<sub>2</sub> pressure on its photoreduction (medium, 0.2 N NaOH; irradiation time, 24 h; catalyst, TiO<sub>2</sub>):  $\bigcirc$ , CH<sub>3</sub>OH;  $\triangle$ , HCOOH;  $\Box$ , CH<sub>3</sub>CHO;  $\diamond$ , C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub>OH.

suggests that photochemical reduction of water has proceeded in the early stage of irradiation, and then the reduction was replaced by  $CO_2$  reduction reactions, which proceeded over 48 h. The decrease in initially produced H<sub>2</sub> indicates its photochemical consumption, that is it might be oxidized by positive holes on the TiO<sub>2</sub> or react with any transient intermediates of CO<sub>2</sub> reduction to produce hydrocarbons or



Fig. 6. Effect of irradiation time on amount of reduction products (medium, 0.2 NaOH; CO<sub>2</sub>, 2.5 MPa; catalyst, TiO<sub>2</sub>):  $\bullet$ , H<sub>2</sub>;  $\triangle$ , CH<sub>4</sub>;  $\Box$ , C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>;  $\blacktriangle$ , CH<sub>3</sub>OH;  $\blacksquare$ , C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH.

alcohols, although its quantity is only a minor proportion of that of the  $CO_2$  reduction products because the amount of  $H_2$  was considerably smaller than that of the  $CO_2$  reduction products.

# Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by a grant-in-aid for scientific research from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan.

# References

- [1] T. Inoue, A. Fujishima, S. Konishi and K. Honda, Nature (London), 277 (1979) 637.
- [2] R.L. Cook, R.C. MacDuff and A.F. Sammells, J. Electrochem. Soc., 135 (1988) 3069.
- [3] K. Tennakone, A.H. Jayatissa and S. Panchihewa, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem., 49 (1989) 369.
- [4] T.S. Dzhavuev, B.B. Tarasov and A.M. Uskov, Catal. Today, 13 (1992) 695.
- [5] K. Sayama and H. Arakawa, J. Phys. Chem., 97 (1993) 531.
- [6] A. Kudo, S. Nakagawa, A. Tsuneto and T. Sakata, J. Electrochem. Soc., 140 (1993) 1541.
- [7] K. Hara, A. Kudo and T. Sakata, J. Electroanal. Chem., 391 (1995) 141.
- [8] K. Adachi, K. Ohta and T. Mizuno, Sol. Energy, 53 (1994) 187.
- [9] H. Yamashita, H. Nishiguchi, N. Kamada and M. Anpo, Rev. Chem. Intermed., 20 (1994) 815.
- [10] H. Yamashita, N. Kamada, H. He, K. Tanaka, S. Ehara and M. Anpo, *Chem. Lett.*, (1994) 855.
- [11] M. Anpo, H. Yamashita, Y. Ichihashi and S. Ehara, J. Electroanal. Chem., 396 (1995) 21.
- [12] M. Halman, M. Ulman and B. Aurian-Blajeni, Sol. Energy, 31 (1983) 429.
- [13] K. Sayama and H. Arakawa, Chem. Lett., (1992) 253.
- [14] K. Sayama and H. Arakawa, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1992) 150.